#### IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

#### SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 13475 of 1993

For	Approval	and	Signature

# Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE M.S.SHAH

\_\_\_\_\_\_

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed : NO to see the judgements?
- 2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO
- 3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy : NO of the judgement?
- 4. Whether this case involves a substantial question : NO of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder?
- 5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge? : NO

\_\_\_\_\_

### VASANTKUMAR MANSUKHLAL PATEL

### Versus

### STATE OF GUJARAT

\_\_\_\_\_

# Appearance:

MS VASUBEN P SHAH for Petitioner
MR VM PANCHOLI, AGP for Respondent No. 1, 2

-----

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE M.S.SHAH Date of decision: 09/07/1999

## ORAL JUDGEMENT

Heard Ms VP Shah, learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr V.M. Pancholi, learned AGP for the respondents.

2. The petitioner has challenged the order passed by the competent authority and the subsequent order passed by the State Government under Section 34 of the Urban Land (Ceiling & Regulation) Act, 1976 and further proceedings before the Competent Authority. The

authorities have not taken possession of the land in question from the petitioner, which fact is not in dispute in view of the written instructions dated 23.6.1999 received by the learned AGP from the Section Officer in the Revenue Department.

- 3. The Urban Land (Ceiling & Regulation) Act, 1976 has been repealed by the Urban Land (Ceiling & Regulation) Repeal Act, 1999, as adopted by the Gujarat State Legislative Assembly as per the resolution dated 30th March, 1999, passed under Article 252 (2) of the Constitution. In view of the provisions of the aforesaid Repeal Act, 1999, the orders impugned in the present petition do not survive and all the proceedings under the Urban Land (Ceiling & Regulation) Act, 1976 in respect of the land in question as well as the present petition have abated.
- 4. The petition is accordingly disposed of as having abated. There shall be no order as to costs.

July 9, 1999 (M.S. Shah, J.)

sundar/-